Commonwealth of Kentucky House of Representatives State Representative Mr. Don Pasley Frankfort, KY Page 13 of 21

Technology No. 17

July 17, 2000

References:

- Meeting of Dynecology with PMATA and Stone & Webster in Edgewood Maryland, July 17,1997.
- "Destruction of Hazardous Organic Substances in a Slagging Gasifier," Eberle, Douglas J., J.L.Spencer and H.W.Schultz, Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Description, December 1985.
- 'Process for the Thermal Decomposition of Toxic Refractory Organic Substances," Helmut Schulz, Patent Number 4,869,731, September 26, 1989.
- 'Process for the Thermal Conversion of Toxic Organic Substances to useful Products," Helmut Schulz, Patent Number 4,950,309, August 21, 1990.
- "Destruction of Toxic Organic Substances in a Slagging Gasifier Including Determination of Heavy Metals in the Slag" Distefano, R. P., Eberle, D.J. et al., Columbia University Account Number 5-20270, Final Report for U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development July 15, 1983.
- 6. "TOXIPLEX Process Evaluation for Destroying PCBs,"Battelle Report, Sept. 21,1989.
- "TOCDF System Hazard Analysis for Tooele Chemical Agent Disposal Facility at Tooele Army Depot Tooele, Utah," Parsons, November 1993.
- 8. Letter to James Bacon (SWEC) from Helmut W. Schulz (Dynecology), December 22, 1997.
- "AFT-Igec Major Metro Power Station," James E. Scott, Fife Energy Ltd., Westfield Development Centre, Fife, Scotland.
- Fax from Helmut W. Schulz (Dynecology) to Dr. Cardito (SWEC), October 3, 1997, Re: Comments on Technology Evaluation.
- Fax from Helmut W. Schulz (Dynecology) to Dr. Cardito (SWEC), May 18, 1999, Re: Comments on Technology Evaluation.


14/22 (cont.)

This document was prepared under contract with the United States Army for the sole purpose of evaluating the identified technology for potential application is the United States Army, Chemical Demilitarization Program (CDP), based on information available to the reviewer at the time of the evaluation. Any opinions, findings, recommendations or conclusions expressed or stand in the context of the particular considerations of the CDP, and are not intended for use or reference in any way by any other party for any other purpose.

Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation

9/12

Commonwealth of Kentucky House of Representatives State Representative Mr. Don Pasley Frankfort, KY Page 14 of 21




14/22 (cont.)

"This document was prepared under contract with the United States Army for the sole purpose of evaluating the identified technology for potential application in the United States Army Chemical Demilitarization Programs (CDP), based on information available to the reviewer at the time of the evaluation. Any opinions, findings, recommendations or conclusions expressed are stated in the contest of the particular considerations of the CDP, and are not intended for use or reference in any way by any other party for any other purpose."

Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation 10/12

Commonwealth of Kentucky House of Representatives State Representative Mr. Don Pasley Frankfort, KY Page 15 of 21




14/22 (cont.)

"This document was prepared under contract with the United States Arms for the sole purpose of evaluating the identified sechnology for potential application in the United States Arms, Chemical Demilitarization Program (CDP). Dated on information available to the reviewer at the time of the evaluation. Any opinions, findings: recommendations or conclusions expressed are stated in the contest of the particular considerations of the CDP, and are not intended for use or reference in any way by any other pury for any other purpose."

Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation

11/12

Commonwealth of Kentucky House of Representatives State Representative Mr. Don Pasley Frankfort, KY Page 16 of 21



"This document was prepared under contract with the United States Army for the sole purpose of evaluating the identified technology for potential application in the United States Army Chemical Dentilization Program (CDP), based on information available to the reviewer at the time of the evaluation. Any opinions, findings, recommendations or conclusions expressed are stated in the contest of the porticular considerations of the CDP, and are not intended for use or reference in any way by any other party for any other purpose."

Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation

2/12